# School Accountability Report Card reported for School Year 2010-11, Published During 2011-12

Executive Summary School Accountability Report Card, 2011–12

### University High School

Address: 2611 E. Matoian, Fresno, CA 93740 Phone: (559) 278-8263

Principal: Dr. James Bushman Grade Span: 9-12

This executive summary of the School Accountability Report Card (SARC) is intended to provide parents and community members with a quick snapshot of information related to individual public schools. The data presented in this report are reported for the 2009–10 school year, except the School Finances and School Completion data that are reported for the 2008–09 school year. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should review the entire SARC or contact the school principal or the district office.

#### **About This School**

University High School is a 9th-12th grade free public charter high school located on the campus of California State University, Fresno. UHS provides an accelerated college preparatory education to approximately 400 students who share a common interest in music. Students receive a strong foundation in music and the liberal arts and sciences in a small high school environment, while benefiting from the opportunities available on a large public university campus.

Group	Enrollment
Number of students	482
Black or African American	5.8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3.3%
Asian	19.5%
Filipino	5.0%
Hispanic or Latino	11.8%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.2%
White (not of Hispanic origin)	53.7%
Two or More Races	0.4%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	8.5%
English Learners	0%
Students with Disabilities	0%

22
0
0
0
0
0

# Student Performance Students Proficient and Above on STAR¹ Program Results English-Language Arts 96.2% Mathematics 55.8% Science 71.9% History-Social Science 83.7%

#### **Academic Progress<sup>2</sup>**

Indicator	Result
2011 Growth API Score (from 2010 Growth API Report)	917
Statewide Rank (from 2010 Base API Report)	10
Met All 2011 AYP Requirements	Yes
Number of AYP Criteria Met Out of the Total Number of Criteria Possible	Met 1 of 1
2010–11 Program Improvement Status (PI Year)	0

#### **School Facilities**

#### **Summary of Most Recent Site Inspection**

University High School moved into its new facility in November or 2010. Classrooms and furnishings provide excellent support for student learning. Technology built into each classroom provide for wireless internet, document camera projection, computer screen projection, ceiling mounted speakers, and dvd projection. Student restrooms provide modern conveniences of hand air dryers and touch-free sinks with the added bonus of color-coordinated tile and graffiti free surroundings.

#### **Repairs Needed**

Troubleshoot intermittent door locks and correct landscape watering.

#### **Corrective Actions Taken or Planned**

Have warranty corrections for card locks on doors. Adjust watering schedules and sprinkler heads to correct watering levels.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Standardized Testing and Reporting Program assessments include the California Standards Tests, the California Modified Assessment, and the California Alternate Performance Assessment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Academic Performance Index is required under state law. Adequate Yearly Progress is required by federal law.

#### **Curriculum and Instructional Materials** Pupils Who Lack Textbooks and Instructional **Core Curriculum Area Materials** Reading/Language Arts 0% Mathematics 0% Science 0% History-Social Science 0% Foreign Language 0% Health NA Visual and Performing Arts 0% Science Laboratory Equipment 0% (grades 9-12)

#### **School Completion**

Indicator	Result
Graduation Rate (if applicable)	93.06%

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted Sources Only)
School Site	\$6603
District	\$
State	\$

#### **Postsecondary Preparation**

Measure	Percent
Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for University of California or California State University Admission	82.5%

# School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from 2010–11 School Year Published During 2011–12

The School Accountability Report Card (SARC), which is required by law to be published by February 1 of each year, contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. More information about SARC requirements is available on the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/</a>. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### I. Data and Access

#### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <a href="http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/">http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/</a> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

#### II. About This School

#### **Contact Information (School Year 2010–11)**

	School		District
School Name	University High School	<b>District Name</b>	Fresno Unified
Street	2611 E. Matoian	Phone Number	(559) 457-3360
City, State, Zip	Fresno, CA,	Web Site	Uhsfresno.com
Phone Number	(559) 278-8263	Board Chair	Dr. Paul Beare
Principal	Dr. James Bushman	E-mail Address	pbeare@csufresno.edu
E-mail Address	jbushman@csufresno.edu	CDS Code	10621660114553

#### School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2011–12)

This section provides information about the school, its programs, and its goals.

University High School is a 9th-12th grade free public charter high school located on the campus of California State University, Fresno. UHS provides an accelerated college preparatory education to approximately 400 students who share a common interest in music. Students receive a strong foundation in music and the liberal arts and sciences in a small high school environment, while benefiting from the opportunities available on a large public university campus.

#### **Mission Statement**

The mission of University High School is to create a high school at CSUF that maintains a small school environment even while it provides students opportunities available on a large public university campus.

The school will strive to work collegially with the University for the benefit of both parties and will be housed under the auspices of California State University, Fresno (CSUF) and its College of Arts and Humanities.

The school's educators will use and continually develop exemplary instructional practices to provide a rigorous and challenging curriculum. The school will promote quality-learning experiences in a technologically rich learning environment. The goal of all instruction should be student learning.

The school's curriculum will be college preparatory and incorporate university courses and university-type experiences into its curriculum. The school will also integrate curriculum components into the graduation requirements that will prepare students for a successful college experience and successful integration into adult society.

The school will spur ideas on educational innovation and reform and serve as a positive example for other schools and districts.

The school will promote positive partnerships with parents, students and the community to create a supportive climate for its students and the school.

#### Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2011–12)

This section provides information about opportunities for parents to become involved with school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parental involvement.

UHS believes educating a child is a community endeavor that only happens when parents, students and a school staff work together on behalf of the student. UHS is small and our capacity to offer the multitude of programs we have, only happens if we receive parent support. Parents are encouraged to get involved with school operations. UHS has its own parent booster group the "Phoenix Alliance" and parent can join. The Phoenix Alliance provides support and sponsors many school related activities like Magic of the Arts, school concerts, Baccalaureate, Open House, etc. But parents do not have to join an organization to help. UHS sends a volunteer form to each family at the beginning of the year that lists all the volunteer opportunities. Parents are encouraged/expected to volunteer with what they can. Phoenix Alliance uses Vogistics to apprise parents of volunteer opportunities and to register and track volunteer hours. UHS does its best to communicate with parents so we can be as transparent as possible. Individual student attendance and grades can be accessed online by the parents of each student. The school website includes a comprehensive view of our school. Daily bulletins are sent to parents via email, and regular notices from the school sent via mail and email serve to fill in any information gaps. Blackboard Connect is used by the school to do parent broadcasts by phone for both emergency announcements and informational announcements.

#### Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2011-12)

Grade Level	Number of Students	Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten		Grade 8	
Grade 1		Ungraded Elementary	
Grade 2		Grade 9	134

Grade 3	Grade 10	123
Grade 4	Grade 11	116
Grade 5	Grade 12	109
Grade 6	Ungraded Secondary	
Grade 7	Total Enrollment	482

#### Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2011-12)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	5.8%	White	53.7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3.3%	Two or More Races	0.4%
Asian	19.5%	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	8.5%
Filipino	5.0%	English Learners	0%
Hispanic or Latino	11.8%	Students with Disabilities	0%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.2%		

#### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

		2008–09			2009–10				2010–11			
Subject	Avg. Class	Number of Classrooms		Avg. Class			Avg. Class	Number of Classrooms				
	Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Size	1-22	23-32	33+
English	22.4	9	9	0	22.8	8	11	0	23.8	6	14	0
Mathematics	21.5	10	7	0	24.3	5	12	0	26.4	5	11	1
Science	21.4	7	7	0	25.6	2	12	0	25.1	3	12	0
Social Science	20.9	4	4	0	22.3	4	4	0	27.3	2	5	1

#### **III. School Climate**

#### School Safety Plan (School Year 2009–10)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan.

Each year UHS revises and updates our school safety plan. This plan is designed to provide a blueprint for a crisis response. All staff members are trained to respond to a number of emergencies from outside occurrences such as fires, earthquakes, and unwelcome intruders to individual 'first aid' issues.

#### **Suspensions and Expulsions**

This table displays the rate of suspensions and expulsions (the total number of incidents divided by the total enrollment) at the school and district levels for the most recent three-year period.

total official of the concor and dictrict levels for the most recent three year period.								
Rate	School District							
Nate	2008-09	2009–10	2010–11	2007-08	2008-09	2009–10		
Suspensions	1.7%	3.2%	0.8%	22.1	24.8	21.7		
Expulsions	0%	0%	0%	1.0	0.8	0.8		

#### IV. School Facilities

#### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2010–11)

This section provides information about the condition of the school's grounds, buildings, and restrooms based on the most recent data available, and a description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements.

University High School moved into its new facility in November or 2010. Classrooms and furnishings provide excellent support for student learning. Technology built into each classroom provide for wireless internet, document camera projection, computer screen projection, ceiling mounted speakers, and dvd projection. Student restrooms provide modern conveniences of hand air dryers and touch-free sinks with the added bonus of color-coordinated tile and graffiti free surroundings.

#### School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2011–12)

Cyctom Inchested	Rep	oair Sta	tus		Repair Needed and
System Inspected	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	х				
Interior: Interior Surfaces	x				
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	х				
Electrical: Electrical	Х				
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	х				
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	х				
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	x				
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	х				Adjustment to watering schedule
Overall Rating	х				

#### V. Teachers

#### **Teacher Credentials**

This table displays the number of teachers assigned to the school with a full credential, without a full credential, and those teaching outside of their subject area of competence. Detailed information about teacher qualifications can be found on the CDE *DataQuest* Web page at <a href="http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/">http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/</a>.

Teachers		District		
reachers	2009–10	2010–11	2011–12	2009–10
With Full Credential	22	23	22	3971
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	62
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0	n/a

#### **Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions**

This table displays the number of teacher misassignments (teachers assigned without proper legal authorization) and the number of vacant teacher positions (not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester). Note: *Total Teacher Misassignments* includes the number of *Misassignments* of *Teachers* of *English Learners*.

Indicator	2008-09	2009–10	2010–11
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

# Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2010–11)

This table displays the percent of classes in core academic subjects taught by Highly Qualified Teachers as defined in the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), in a school, in all schools in the district, in high-poverty schools in the district, and in low-poverty schools in the district. High poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program. More information on teacher qualifications required under the ESEA can be found on the CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/.

	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects					
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers				
This School	100%	0%				
All Schools in District	83.8%	16.2%				
High-Poverty Schools in District	83.3%	16.7%				
Low-Poverty Schools in District	90.5%	9.5%				

#### VI. Support Staff

#### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2009–10)

This table displays, in units of full-time equivalents (FTE), the number of academic counselors and other support staff who are assigned to the school and the average number of students per academic counselor. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor		
Academic Counselor	1.5	321		
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	n/a		
Library Media Teacher (librarian)	0	n/a		
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	0	n/a		
Psychologist	0	n/a		
Social Worker	0	n/a		
Nurse	0	n/a		
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0	n/a		
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0	n/a		

#### VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

# Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2010–11)

This table displays information about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school; whether the textbooks and instructional materials are from the most recent adoption (yes/no); and information about the school's use of any

supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Core Curriculum Area	Quality, Currency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials	Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and/or Instructional Materials	Most Recent SBE or Local Governing Agency Approved Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Reading/Language Arts	sufficient	0	yes
Mathematics	sufficient	0	yes
Science	sufficient	0	yes
History-Social Science	sufficient	0	yes
Foreign Language	sufficient	0	yes
Visual and Performing Arts	sufficient	0	yes
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	sufficient	0	yes

#### **VIII. School Finances**

#### **Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010–11)**

This table displays a comparison of the school's per pupil expenditures from unrestricted (basic) sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state, and a comparison of the average teacher salary at the school site with average teacher salaries at the district and state levels. Detailed information regarding school expenditures can be found on the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending Web page at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/</a> and teacher salaries can be found on the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/</a>.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental / Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic / Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$3,163,130	n/a	\$6,603	n/a
District (2008-09)	n/a	n/a	\$5,087	\$65,612

Percent Difference – School Site and District	n/a	n/a	29.8%	n/a
State	n/a	n/a	\$5,681	\$68,179
Percent Difference – School Site and State	n/a	n/a	16.2%	n/a

#### IX. Student Performance

#### **Standardized Testing and Reporting Program**

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including the California Standards Tests (CSTs); the California Modified Assessment (CMA), and the California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). The assessments under the STAR program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. The CSTs include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven. The CAPA includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations. The CMA is an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through nine, mathematics for grades three through seven and Algebra I and science in grades five, eight, and ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels. Detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, can be found on the CDE Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Results Web site at http://star.cde.ca.gov. Program information regarding the STAR Program can be found in the Explaining 2009 STAR Program Summary Results to the Public guide at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/sr/documents/pkt5intrpts09.pdf.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

# Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards).

		School		District			State		
Subject	2008-09	2009–10	2010–11	2008–09 (9-11)	2009–10 (9-11)	2010–11 (9-11)	2008–09 (9-11)	2009–10 (9-11)	2010–11 (9-11)
English- Language Arts	96	95.1	96.4	29.8	32.1	34.7	44.9	47.5	49.4
Mathematics	50.4	54.5	56.1	9.9	11.9	12.5	24	25.6	28.7
Science	71.6	74	68.6	20.1	24.2	26	36.3	40	44.5
History- Social Science	81.3	79.9	83.3	28.9	31.5	34	40.9	43.4	45.9

## Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group – Most Recent Year

This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) for the most recent testing period.

(moduling of exoceding the state of	·	Students Scoring	•	r Advanced
Group	English- Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History- Social Science
All Students at the School	96.4	56.1	68.6	83.3
Male	98.6	64.7	80.7	88.5
Female	94.2	50.2	66.4	80.8
Black or African American	-	-	-	-
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-
Asian	-	-	-	-
Filipino	-	-	•	-
Hispanic or Latino	93.9	37.8	63.6	69
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	•		-	-
White	96.5	58.2	73.4	85.1
Two or More Races	-	-	•	-
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	89.8	51.0	71.4	77.1
English Learners	-	-	1	-
Students with Disabilities	-	-	-	-
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	-	-	-	-

#### **California High School Exit Examination**

The California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) is primarily used as a graduation requirement. However, the grade ten results of this exam are also used to establish the percentages of students at three proficiency levels (not proficient, proficient, or advanced) in ELA and mathematics in order to compute AYP designations as required by the federal NCLB Act of 2001. Detailed information regarding CAHSEE results can be found on the CDE California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) Web site at <a href="http://cahsee.cde.ca.gov/">http://cahsee.cde.ca.gov/</a>. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy, or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

# California High School Exit Examination Results for All Grade Ten Students – Three-Year Comparison (if applicable)

This table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level in ELA and mathematics.

Subject		School			District			State	
Subject	2008-09	2009–10	2010-11	2008-09	2009–10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010–11
English- Language Arts		91%	98%		38%	47%		53%	60%
Mathematics		95%	94%		43%	45%		54%	57%

# California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group – Most Recent Year (if applicable)

This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at each performance level in ELA and mathematics for the most recent testing period.

	Englis	sh-Languag	e Arts	l I	Mathematic	S
Group	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced
All Students at the School	1.7%	8.4%	89.9%	5.0%	28.6%	66.4%
Male	0%	9.8%	90.2%	2.0%	15.7%	82.3%
Female	2.9%	7.4%	89.7%	7.4%%	38.2%	54.4%
Black or African American	0%	0%	100%	0%	50%	50%
American Indian or Alaska Native	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Asian	0%	5.5%	94.5%	0%	5.5%	94.5%
Filipino	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Hispanic or Latino	7.1%	7.1%	86.8%	21.4%	14.3%	64.3%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
White	1.4%	8.6%	90.0%	4.3%	28.6%	67.1%
Two or More Races	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
English Learners	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Students with Disabilities	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

#### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2009–10)

The California Physical Fitness Test is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. Detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state levels, may be found on the CDE Physical Fitness Testing Web page at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/</a>. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy, or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

	Percent of	Students Meeting Fitness Standards			
Grade Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards		
9 (UHS)	97.1%	93.5%	81.2%		
9 (Fresno Unified)	70.2%	49.9%	24.7%		
9 (State)	82.0%	66.1%	38.7%		

#### X. Accountability

#### **Academic Performance Index**

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. Detailed information about the API can be found on the CDE Academic Performance Index (API) Web page at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/</a>.

#### Academic Performance Index Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all

schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state. The similar schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2008	2009	2010
Statewide	10	10	10
Similar Schools	9	9	9

#### Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the actual API changes in points added or lost for the past three years. *Note: "N/A" means that the student group is not numerically significant or data were not available.* 

Group		Actual API Change				
Group	2008-09	2009–10	2010–11			
All Students at the School	+17	+2	0			
Black or African American	N/A	N/A	N/A			
American Indian or Alaska Native	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Asian	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Filipino	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Hispanic or Latino	N/A	N/A	0			
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A			
White	+14	+1	-10			
Two or More Races	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	N/A	N/A	+25			
English Learners	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Students with Disabilities	N/A	N/A	N/A			

# Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – 2011 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the 2011 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

Group	2011 Growth API				
Group	School (9-11)	District (2-11)	State (9-11)		
All Students at the School	917	717	742		
Black or African American	N/A	667	650		
American Indian or Alaska Native	N/A	710	703		
Asian	N/A	746	866		
Filipino	N/A	842	824		
Hispanic or Latino	900	699	688		
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	N/A	777	720		
White	912	808	810		
Two or More Races	N/A	N/A	786		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	896	701	684		
English Learners	N/A	685	640		
Students with Disabilities	N/A	518	501		

#### **Adequate Yearly Progress**

The federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

• Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics

- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found on the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Web page at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/</a>.

#### Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2009–10)

This table displays an indication of whether the school and the district made AYP overall and whether the school and the district met each of the six AYP criteria described above.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Overall	Yes	No
Participation Rate - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts	Yes	No
Percent Proficient - Mathematics	Yes	No
API	Yes	Yes
Graduation Rate	Yes	Yes

#### Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2010–11)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. Detailed information about PI identification can be found on the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	n/a	Year 3
First Year of Program Improvement	n/a	2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement	n/a	6
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	n/a	65
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	n/a	61.9%

#### XI. School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation

#### Admission Requirements for California's Public Universities

#### **University of California**

Admission requirements for the University of California (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college course work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work. For general admissions requirements, please visit the General Admissions Information Web page at <a href="http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/admissions/general.html">http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/admissions/general.html</a>. (Outside Source)

#### California State University

Admission requirements for the California State University (CSU) use three factors to determine eligibility. They are specific high school courses; grades in specified courses and test scores; and graduation from high school. Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the

local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses utilize local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For general admissions requirements please visit the California State University Web page at <a href="http://www.calstate.edu/SAS/admreq.shtml">http://www.calstate.edu/SAS/admreq.shtml</a>. (Outside Source)

#### **Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate**

This table displays the school's one-year dropout rates and graduation rates for the most recent three-year period for which data are available. For comparison purposes, data are also provided at the district and state levels. Detailed information about dropout rates and graduation rates can be found on the CDE *DataQuest* Web page at <a href="http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/">http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/</a>.

	School				District			State		
Indicator	2007- 08	2008– 09	2009– 10	2007- 08	2008– 09	2009– 10	2007– 08	2008– 09	2009– 10	
Dropout Rate (1-year)	1.8%	1.0%	0.5%	6.3%	5.9%	5.9%	4.9%	5.7%	4.6%	
<b>Graduation Rate</b>	97.56	97.65	93.06	71.14	74.68	75.52	80.21	78.59	80.44	

#### **Completion of High School Graduation Requirements**

This table displays, by student group, the percent of students who began the 2010–11 school year in grade twelve and were a part of the school's most recent graduating class who met all state and local graduation requirements for grade twelve completion, including having passed both the ELA and mathematics portions of the CAHSEE or received a local waiver or state exemption.

Group	Graduating Class of 2011				
Group	School	District	State		
All Students	100%				
Black or African American	100%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	100%				
Asian	100%				
Filipino	100%				
Hispanic or Latino	100%				
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	100%				
White	100%				
Two or More Races	-				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	100%				
English Learners	-				
Students with Disabilities	-				

# Courses for University of California and/or California State University Admission (School Year 2010–11)

This table displays, for the most recent year, two measures related to the school's courses that are required for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) admission. Detailed information about student enrollment in, and completion of, courses required for UC/CSU admission can be found on the CDE *DataQuest* Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/.

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	100%
Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	82.5%

#### **Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2011–12)**

This table displays, for the most recent year, the number of Advanced Placement (AP) courses that the school offered by subject and the percent of the school's students enrolled in all AP courses. Detailed information about student enrollment in AP courses can be found on the CDE *DataQuest* Web page at <a href="http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataguest/">http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataguest/</a>.

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science	0	n/a
English	2	36.7%
Fine and Performing Arts	1	9.3%
Foreign Language	0	n/a
Mathematics	3	26.8%
Science	0	n/a
Social Science	2	32.8%

#### XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

#### **Professional Development**

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

UHS believes professional development serves a vital function towards maintaining teacher excellence. UHS teachers engage is three days of school sponsored professional development before school begins in August of each year. During the school year teachers will spend an additional 14 hours including one-full day before the second semester begins in January.

But professional development has to be more than just school driven. At UHS each teacher creates their own individualized professional development plan to meet their own needs. This year some teachers will attend conferences, some will be reading professional journals, and others will be visiting or observing their colleagues. Each teacher enacts this plan during the fall and early spring. Records of each teacher's efforts are kept on file.